

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,678	07/14/2003	Yin-Shu Yang	YANG3146/EM	4122
23364 . 75	590 01/19/2005		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			GUTMAN, HILARY L	
625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			
			DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		PIN			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Communication	10/617,678	YANG, YIN-SHU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Hilary Gutman	3612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 December 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(c)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benedict in view of Bohlman et al. (3,384,333).

Benedict (6,312,202) discloses a side rack rod 20 for motor vehicle, comprising: a pair of corresponding anchors each a straight tubular pipe section 22 (joined together as one long straight tubular pipe section); a curved pipe section 24, 26, respectively disposed on an end of each of the straight tubular pipe sections; a fixed base 32 at each of the curved pipe sections; characterized in that each fixed base comprising a long groove hole 36 thereon, and the maximum and minimum distance between the two long groove holes is variable or adjustable in a fore and aft direction according to the length of the straight tubular section so as to fit a range of various sized truck beds.

Benedict lacks the straight tubular pipe sections being separated by a sleeve therebetween and configured to receive the straight tubular pipe sections.

Bohlman et al. (3,384,333) teach a side rack rod for a motor vehicle comprising: a pair of corresponding anchors 12, 22 (only one of which is shown in Figure 6) each having a straight tubular section 22; a curved pipe section 12 at the end of each of the straight tubular sections 22; a fixed base 15, 21 at each of the curved pipe sections; a sleeve 23 (Figure 6) disposed between

the pair of anchors and configured to receive the straight tubular sections 22. The sleeve 23 is hollow and interconnected between the straight pipe sections 22 of the pair of corresponding anchors.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a sleeve as taught by Bohlman et al. between the conjoined straight tubular pipe sections of Benedict in order to provide an intermediate support for the rod in a central location and also to allow the rod to be removed from the vehicle and dismantled to provide for easier storage in a smaller storage area.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-4 have been considered but are moot in 3. view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 4. disclosure.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hilary Gutman whose telephone number is 703-305-0496.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 703-308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,678

Art Unit: 3612

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9326, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 746-3515, (for informal or draft communications, please clearly label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hilary Gutman January 11, 2005